

Zamolodchikov-Faddeev Algebra in 2-Component Anyons

Yue-lin Shen and Mo-lin Ge

Theoretical Physics Division, Nankai Institute of Mathematics
Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China

February 1, 2008

Abstract

We investigate Wilczeck's mutual fractional statistical model at the field- theoretical level. The effective Hamiltonian for the particles is derived by the canonical procedure, whereas the commutators of the anyonic excitations are proved to obey the Zamolodchikov-Faddeev algebra. Cases leading to well known statistics as well as Laughlin's wave function are discussed.

PACS numbers:05.30.-d,73.40.Hm,71.10+x

Fractional statistics plays an important role in planar physics. In two dimensional space identical particles can obey new kinds of statistics [1], interpolating between the normal Bose and Fermi Statistics. The general theory of fractional statistics was put forward by Wilczek and Zee [2] and Wu [3] who interpret the theory in the path integral formalism and related the theory to the braid group, while the connection with the Chern-Simons theory was also proposed [4, 5]. Since then, a new subject, called anyonic physics, has been widely developed, especially in the application in High- T_c superconductivity [6] and quantum Hall effect [7].

Recently Wilczek has proposed a 2-components anyonic model to describe incompressible liquid quantized Hall states in situations where two distinct kinds of electrons are relevant. The model can also overcome the violation of the discrete symmetries P and T in the original anyonic superconductivity theorem. In his paper [8] mutual statistics between distinguished particles are introduced, which brings about some interesting results.

Multianyon quantum mechanics poses a challenging problem in theoretical physics. A complete solution is far out of research, we can only understand some features from different point of view. One of them is to know the statistical character of the anyonic excitation. In this work, based on the second quantized procedure, we diagonalize the Hamiltonian for the 2-components anyons, at the expense of the unusual commutation relation of the field operators, which shares the Zamolodchikov-Faddeev algebra. Though the method here is not developed first time, the result has never appeared in the literature. The algebra of these operators reflects the statistical characters between the anyonic excitations. Special values for the coupling constants leading to the Bose, Fermi and q -deformed statistics are discussed, meanwhile the Laughlin's wave function is given.

Let us start from Wilczek's model [8]

$$\begin{aligned}
L = & \sum_{i,a=1,2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} M \left(\frac{d\mathbf{x}_i^{(a)}}{dt} \right)^2 + \frac{e}{c} a^{(a)}(\mathbf{x}_i) \frac{d\mathbf{x}_i^{(a)}}{dt} - e a_0^{(a)}(\mathbf{x}_i) \right\} \\
& + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\mathbf{x} n_{ab} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} a_\alpha^{(a)} f_{\beta\gamma}^{(b)}
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where

$$f_{\beta\gamma}^{(a)} = \partial_\beta a_\gamma^{(a)} - \partial_\gamma a_\beta^{(a)}$$

In Eq.(1) the index i refers to a given particle, α, β, γ correspond to the three-dimensional Lorentz coordinates, (a) represents the two kinds of particles, and all coordinates $\mathbf{x}$ or $\mathbf{x}_i$ refers to a two dimensional vectors. The matrix

$$n_{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} m_1 & n \\ n & m_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

describes the mutual statistics between the two kinds of particles and their self- statistics.

Since the time components of the gauge fields are Langrangian multiplies, one proceeds by eliminating them by varying the Langrangian with respect to them ($\delta L / \delta a^0 = 0$). Then one gets

$$e \sum_i \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i^{(a)}) = \sum_{b=1,2} \frac{n_{ab}}{\pi} (\partial_1 a_2^{(b)} - \partial_2 a_1^{(b)}) \tag{2}$$

Due to the two-dimensional identity $\nabla(\mathbf{x}/\mathbf{x}^2) = 2\pi\delta(\mathbf{x})$, and choosing the Coulomb gauge $\nabla a(\mathbf{x}) = 0$, we can suppose the solution of (2) as

$$\begin{aligned}
a^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_i \frac{\theta_{11}}{2\pi} \frac{k \times (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i^{(1)})}{(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i^{(1)})^2} + \sum_i \frac{\theta_{12}}{2\pi} \frac{k \times (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i^{(2)})}{(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i^{(2)})^2} \\
a^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_i \frac{\theta_{21}}{2\pi} \frac{k \times (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i^{(1)})}{(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i^{(1)})^2} + \sum_i \frac{\theta_{22}}{2\pi} \frac{k \times (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i^{(2)})}{(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i^{(2)})^2}
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where k is the unit vector perpendicular to the plane.

The assumption turns out to be true when the parameters θ_{ij} satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}
e\pi &= m_1\theta_{11} + n\theta_{21} \\
e\pi &= m_2\theta_{22} + n\theta_{12} \\
0 &= m_1\theta_{12} + n\theta_{22} \\
0 &= m_2\theta_{21} + n\theta_{11}
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

whose solution reads

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta_{11} &= \frac{m_2 e\pi}{m_1 m_2 - n^2} \\
\theta_{12} &= \frac{-n e\pi}{m_1 m_2 - n^2} \\
\theta_{21} &= \frac{-n e\pi}{m_1 m_2 - n^2} \\
\theta_{22} &= \frac{m_1 e\pi}{m_1 m_2 - n^2}
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

After the second quantization the effective Hamiltonian for the particles reads

$$H = \sum_a \int d\mathbf{x} \frac{1}{2M} [(-i\nabla - \frac{e}{c}a^{(a)}(\mathbf{x}))\Psi^{(a)}(\mathbf{x})]^\dagger [(-i\nabla - \frac{e}{c}a^{(a)}(\mathbf{x}))\Psi^{(a)}(\mathbf{x})] \tag{6}$$

where

$$a^{(a)}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{b=1,2} \frac{\theta_{ab}}{2\pi} \nabla \int d\mathbf{y} \theta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \Psi^{(b)\dagger}(\mathbf{y}) \Psi^{(b)}(\mathbf{y}) \tag{7}$$

and $\theta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$ is the azimuthal angle of the vector from $\mathbf{y}$ to $\mathbf{x}$ which is usually multivalued function. Since we are only interested in the effect of interchanging a pair of particles once [9] to see the statistics, the first sheet of the complex angle is concerned here, informations from higher ones can be trivially derived [11].

Using the Jordan-Wigner transformation [9, 10, 11], we can turn the interacted Hamiltonian to a free one accompanying with the complicated fields operators, or anyonic operators. Study on these operators reveals the statistics of the anyonic excitation mode.

The transformation

$$\Psi_F^{(a)}(\mathbf{x}) = \exp\left(-i \sum_b \frac{\theta_{ab}}{2\pi} \int d\mathbf{z} \theta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}) \Psi^{(b)\dagger}(\mathbf{z}) \Psi^{(b)}(\mathbf{z})\right) \Psi^{(a)}(\mathbf{x}) \quad (8)$$

make the Hamiltonian (6)

$$H_F = \sum_a \int d\mathbf{x} \frac{1}{2M} (-i\nabla \Psi_F^{(a)}(\mathbf{x}))^\dagger (-i\nabla \Psi_F^{(a)}(\mathbf{x})) \quad (9)$$

As a note, the free Hamiltonian doesn't mean a non-interacted system, because we will deal with a complicated commutation algebra.

By a lengthy but not difficult calculation, we can get the commutators of the anyonic operators. For instance, from (8) and using the Baker- Campbell-Hausdoff formula, we obtain

$$\Psi_F^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}) \Psi_F^{(1)\dagger}(\mathbf{y}) = \delta_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} - e^{i\frac{\theta_{11}}{2\pi}(\theta(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x})-\theta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}))} \Psi_F^{(1)\dagger}(\mathbf{y}) \Psi_F^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}) \quad (10)$$

For simplicity, we define the ordering in two dimensional space. Given two vectors $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$, and their components $\mathbf{x}_{1,2}, \mathbf{y}_{1,2}$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x} > \mathbf{y} & \quad \text{if } \mathbf{x}_2 < \mathbf{y}_2 \\ & \quad \text{or } \mathbf{x}_2 = \mathbf{y}_2, \mathbf{x}_1 < \mathbf{y}_1 \\ \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} & \quad \text{if } \mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 = \mathbf{y}_2 \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Further, we define

$$\text{sgn}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \mathbf{x} > \mathbf{y} \\ 0 & \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} \\ -1 & \mathbf{x} < \mathbf{y} \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

With these definitions we get

$$\Psi_F^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}) \Psi_F^{(1)\dagger}(\mathbf{y}) = \delta_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} - e^{i\frac{\theta_{11}}{2}\text{sgn}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})} \Psi_F^{(1)\dagger}(\mathbf{y}) \Psi_F^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}) \quad (13)$$

The same procedures for other commutators lead to the following relation in a compact form

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_F^{(i)}(\mathbf{x})\Psi_F^{(j)}(\mathbf{y}) &= S_{kl}^{ij}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\Psi_F^{(k)}(\mathbf{y})\Psi_F^{(l)}(\mathbf{x}) \\
\Psi_F^{(i)\dagger}(\mathbf{x})\Psi_F^{(j)\dagger}(\mathbf{y}) &= S_{lk}^{*ji}(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x})\Psi_F^{(k)\dagger}(\mathbf{y})\Psi_F^{(l)\dagger}(\mathbf{x}) \\
\Psi_F^{(i)}(\mathbf{x})\Psi_F^{(j)\dagger}(\mathbf{y}) &= S_{lj}^{ki}(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x})\Psi_F^{(k)\dagger}(\mathbf{y})\Psi_F^{(l)}(\mathbf{x}) + \delta_{ij}\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where S_{kl}^{ij} is a 4×4 matrix, whose nonzero elements are

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{11}^{11}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) &= -e^{\frac{i\theta_{11}}{2}\text{sgn}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})} \\
S_{12}^{21}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) &= -e^{\frac{i\theta_{12}}{2}\text{sgn}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})} \\
S_{21}^{12}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) &= -e^{\frac{i\theta_{21}}{2}\text{sgn}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})} \\
S_{22}^{22}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) &= -e^{\frac{i\theta_{22}}{2}\text{sgn}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})}
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

It is easy to show that the matrix S_{kl}^{ij} satisfies Yang-Baxter Equation

$$S_{kl}^{ij}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})S_{np}^{lm}(\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{y})S_{qr}^{kn}(\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{x}) = S_{kl}^{jm}(\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{x})S_{qn}^{ik}(\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{y})S_{rp}^{nl}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) \tag{16}$$

The algebras (14) and (16) originally appeared in the $1+1$ dimensional integrable field theory [12, 13, 14], but it happendly comes into the $2+1$ dimensional system, and the spectral parameter in the quantum inverse scattering method is replaced here by the space-like vector. It is not clear to interpret the physical consequences of the algebra, because our system is far from the integrable system, but from it we can find some simple and solvable cases.

(1) We have two kinds of free Fermions, if

$$\theta_{ij} = 4\pi \times \text{integer} \tag{17}$$

(2) One kind is free Fermion, the other one is q-deformed excitation, if

$$\theta_{12} = \theta_{21} = 4\pi \times integer, \theta_{11} = 4\pi \times integer, \theta_{22} \neq 4\pi \times integer \quad (18)$$

(3) Two kinds of q-deformed excitations, and anticommuted each other. If

$$\theta_{12} = \theta_{21} = 4\pi \times integer, \theta_{11} \neq 4\pi \times integer, \theta_{22} \neq 4\pi \times integer \quad (19)$$

(4) No free excitation mode if

$$\theta_{12} \neq 4\pi \times integer \quad (20)$$

Correspondingly, if we take $\theta_{ij} = 2\pi(2k+1)$, $k \in N$, we have the Boson or q-deformed excitation mode .

Finally, introducing two sets of complex coordinates $(z_i, \bar{z}_i)$ and $(w_i, \bar{w}_i)$ for the two kinds of particles, we can discuss the problem in the first quantized formalism. In parallel to (8) and (9), we have a Hamiltonian without interaction

$$H'_F = \sum_{a=1,2} \frac{P_{(a)}^2}{2M} \quad (21)$$

Correspondingly the wavefunction is multivalued. We can construct Laughlin's wave function [7]

$$|\Psi\rangle'_F = \prod (z_i - z_j)^{\theta_{11}/\pi} (w_i - w_j)^{\theta_{22}/\pi} (z_i - w_j)^{\theta_{12}/\pi} f(z_i, \bar{z}_i; w_i, \bar{w}_i) \quad (22)$$

where $f(z_i, \bar{z}_i; w_i, \bar{w}_i)$ is invariant under the interchanging between any two particles.

References

- [1] F.Wilczek, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **48** 114(1982);**49** 957(1982).
- [2] F.Wilczek and A.Zee, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **51** 2250(1983).
- [3] Y.S.Wu, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **52** 2103(1984).
- [4] Y.S.Wu, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **53** 111(1984).
- [5] D.P.Arovas,R.Schrieffer,F.Wilczek and A.Zee, *Nucl.Phys.* **B251** 117(1985).
- [6] F.Wilczek, *Fractional Statistics and Anyon Superconductivity* (World Scientific,Singapore,1990).
- [7] R.E.Prange,S.M.Girvin,ed., *The Quantum Hall Effect*, Second Edition,(Spring-Verlag,New York Inc,1990).
- [8] F.Wilczek, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **69** 132(1992).
- [9] E.Fradkin, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **63** 322(1989).
- [10] K.N.Ilinski and A.S.Stepanenko, *Phys.Lett.* **A187** 1 (1994).
- [11] J.K.Kim,W.T.Kim and H.Shin, *J.Phys.* **A27** 6067(1994).
- [12] A.Zamolodchikov and Al.Zamolodchikov, *Ann.Phys.* **120** 25(1979).
- [13] L.D.Faddeev, *Sov.Sci.Rev.* **C1** 107(1980).
- [14] P.P.Kulish, *Phys.Lett.* **A161** 50(1990).